Modified Chaplygin Gas Cosmology with Bulk Viscosity

H. B. Benaoum
Department of Applied Physics, University of Sharjah,
P.O. Box 27272, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates
Email: hbenaoum@sharjah.ac.ae

September 16, 2014

arXiv:1401.8002v2 [gr-qc] 14 Sep 2014

Abstract

We investigate the viscous modified Chaplygin gas cosmological model. Solutions for different values of the viscosity parameter are obtained using both analytical and numerical methods. We have calculated the deceleration and defined *newly* statefinder $\{r, s\}$ pair in D dimensions. It is shown that when $D = 4$, the usual statefinder parameters are recovered. Furthermore, we apply the statefinder diagnostic to the MCG model with and without viscosity in D dimensions and explore these parameters graphically.

1 Introduction

A growing number of observational data indicate that the observable universe is undergoing a phase of accelerated expansion [1]-[10]. The source of this cosmic acceleration is attributed to an unknown dark energy component with a negative pressure, which dominates the universe at recent cosmological time.

Several mechanisms have been proposed to describe the physical nature of this dark energy component; among them, the single-component fluid known as Chaplygin gas has attracted a lot of interest in recent times [11]-[27]. Many variants of the Chaplygin gas model have been proposed in the literature. A further general model named modified Chaplygin gas (MCG) has been introduced by Benaoum [28] and obeys the following equation of state :

$$p = A\rho - \frac{B}{\rho^{\alpha}} \tag{1}$$

where A, B and α are universal positive constants.
The equation of state with $A = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ known as Chaplygin gas (CG) was first introduced by Chaplygin to study the lifting forces on a plane wing in aerodynamics. Its generalized form with $A = 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ is known as the generalized Chaplygin gas (GCG) was first introduced by Kamenshchik *et al.* [29] and Bento *et al.* [30] .

The MCG has the remarkable property of describing the dark sector of the universe (i.e. dark energy and dark matter) as a single component that acts as both dark energy and dark matter. It interpolates from matter-dominated era to a cosmological constant-dominated era. It is well known that the CG, MCG and theirs variants have bee extensively studied in the literature [31]-[32]. Several papers discussing various aspects of the behavior of MCG to reconcile the standard cosmological model with observations have been considered [33]-[38].

On the other hand, dissipative effects, including both bulk and shear viscosity, play an important role in the evolution of the universe. In viscous cosmology, shear viscosities arise in relation to space anisotropy while the bulk viscosity accounts for the space isotropy. In the study of cosmology, shear viscosities are ignored since the cosmic microwave background (CMB) does not indicate significant anisotropies, and only bulk viscosities are taken into account for the viscous fluid. Furthermore, bulk viscosity related to a grand unified theory phase transition may lead to an explanation of the accelerated cosmic expansion. Bulk viscous cosmological models have been discussed by several authors [39]-[47]. Chaplygin gas with viscosity has been considered in [48]. Very recently, FRW MCG viscous fluid cosmological model has been studied [49].

In order to differentiate between various dark energy models, a geometrical diagnostic, called statefinder $\{r, s\}$ pair, has been introduced in [50]. It involves the third derivative of the scale factor a with respect to the time, just like the Hubble and deceleration parameters involve the first and second derivative respectively. The statefinder pair defines two new cosmological parameters r and s in addition to the Hubble parameter H and the deceleration parameter q as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{\dddot{a}}{aH^3} \\ s &= \frac{r-1}{3(q-\frac{1}{2})} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

For the ΛCDM model, the statefinder diagnostic pair $\{r, s\}$ takes the fixed point $\{1, 0\}$ whereas for the standard cold dark matter (SCDM), it corresponds to the value $\{1, 1\}$ [50]-[51]. Departure of a dark energy model from the ΛCDM fixed point is a good way of establishing the *distance* of this model from the flat ΛCDM.

This work is organized as follows. The physical model of the viscous cosmological MCG and the basic equations are presented in section 2. Exact solutions for viscosity parameter $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ are obtained using both

analytical and numerical methods. In section 3, the deceleration parameter and the statefinder parameters in D dimensions are calculated.It is shown that when $D = 4$, the usual statefinder parameters are recovered. Based on this, we apply the statefinder diagnostic to the viscous MCG model in D dimensions. The statefinder parameters $\{r, s\}$ are derived and the numerical results are presented. Finally, this work ends with discussion and concluding remarks in section 4.

2 Viscous Modified Chaplygin Gas

We consider a Friedmann Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe described by the following metric :

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\left[\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega_{D-1}^2\right] \quad . \tag{3}$$

Here $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the universe and the curvature $k = 0, \pm 1$ describes spatially flat, closed or open spacetimes respectively.

The Einstein's field equation is given by :

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = T_{\mu\nu} \tag{4}$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor.

We assume that the spacetime is filled with only one component fluid having a bulk viscosity. In this case, the energy-momentum tensor can be written as follows :

$$T_{\mu\nu} = p_{eff}\ g_{\mu\nu} + (\rho + p_{eff})\ u_\mu u_\nu \tag{5}$$

where u_μ is the velocity, and the effective pressure p_{eff} can be expressed as follows :

$$p_{eff} = p + \Pi \tag{6}$$

which is the sum of the equilibrium pressure p and the bulk pressure Π. The bulk viscous pressure Π is represented by the Eckart's expression which is proportional to the Hubble parameter H with proportionality factor identified as the bulk viscosity coefficient ξ :

$$\Pi = -(D-1)H\xi \quad . \tag{7}$$

In most of the investigations in cosmology, the bulk viscous coefficient is assumed to have a power law dependence on the energy density,

$$\xi = \xi_0\ \rho^\nu \tag{8}$$

where $\xi_0 > 0$ and ν are constants.

The Friedmann equations which govern the evolution of the scale factor are given by :

$$H^2 = \frac{2\ \rho}{(D-1)(D-2)} - \frac{k}{a^2} \tag{9}$$

$$\dot{H} = \frac{1}{D-2}(\rho + p_{eff}) + \frac{k}{a^2} \tag{10}$$

and the conservation law equation reads :

$$\dot{\rho} + (D-1)\, H(\rho + p_{eff}) \quad = \quad 0 \tag{11}$$

with $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ being the Hubble parameter. Here,we assume that $c = 1$ and $8\pi G = 1$.

Using the equation of state of the MCG with bulk viscosity in the above conservation equation, we get :

$$\dot{\rho} + (D-1)H\left((A+1)\rho - \frac{B}{\rho^{\alpha}} - (D-1)H\xi_0\rho^{\nu}\right) \quad = \quad 0 \quad . \tag{12}$$

In the following subsections, this equation is studied for different values of the viscosity parameter ν. In particular, we look for cosmological solutions corresponding to the viscosity parameter $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$.

2.1 Solution with $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$

Here, exact solution for $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ is studied using both analytical and numerical methods. The evolution equation (i.e. equation (12)) for the energy density ρ for the viscous dissipative MCG flat homogeneous cosmological model can be written as :

$$\frac{\rho^{\alpha} d\rho}{(A+1)\rho^{\alpha+1} - B - c_D\xi_0\ \rho^{\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{da^{D-1}}{a^{D-1}} \quad = \quad 0 \tag{13}$$

where we denote $c_D = \sqrt{\frac{2(D-1)}{D-2}}$.

Because of the complicated nonlinear character of the differential equation (13), we expand it in power series of ξ_0. Equation (13) becomes :

$$\rho^{\alpha} d\rho \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_D^n \xi_0^n \rho^{n(\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2})}}{\left((A+1)\rho^{\alpha+1} - B\right)^{n+1}} + \frac{da^{D-1}}{a^{D-1}} \quad = \quad 0 \quad . \tag{14}$$

By performing the change of variable $u = \rho^{\alpha+1}$, the latter equation takes the form :

$$\frac{1}{\alpha+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} c_D^n \xi_0^n}{B^{n+1}} \frac{du^{1+n\frac{\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}}}{\left(1 - \frac{A+1}{B}u\right)^{n+1}} + \frac{da^{D-1}}{a^{D-1}} \quad = \quad 0 \quad . \tag{15}$$

The general solution of equation (15) is given in terms of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$. After integration, we get :

$$\frac{\ln((A+1)u - B)}{(\alpha+1)(A+1)} + \ln a^{D-1} + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} c_D^n \xi_0^n}{B^{n+1}} \frac{u^{1+n\frac{\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}}}{1+n\frac{\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}}\ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{c} 1+n, 1+n\frac{\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1} \\ 2+n\frac{\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1} \end{array} ; \frac{A+1}{B}u\right] \quad = \quad C_0 \tag{16}$$

where C_0 is an integration constant.

Now, by using the nth-derivative formula of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ which is given by :

$$\frac{d^n}{dz^n}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{c} a, b \\ c \end{array} ; z\right] \quad = \quad \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{c} a+n, b+n \\ c+n \end{array} ; z\right] \tag{17}$$

we further rewrite the equation (16) as :

$$\frac{\ln((A+1)u-B)}{(\alpha+1)(A+1)}+\ln a^{D-1}+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}c_D^n\xi_0^n}{B(A+1)^{\alpha+1}}\frac{u^{1+n\frac{\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}}}{n!(1+n\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1})}\frac{d^n}{du^n}{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}1,1+n\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}\\2+n\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}\end{matrix};\frac{A+1}{B}u\right] = C_0 \quad .(18)$$

From the above equation, the density ρ can be easily expresses as :

$$\rho^{\alpha+1} = \frac{B}{A+1}+\frac{C\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}e^{\left(-\frac{c_D\xi_0}{A+1}\right)^n\frac{f_n(\rho)}{n!}}}{a^{(D-1)(\alpha+1)(A+1)}} \tag{19}$$

where $C=e^{C_0}$ is the integration constant and we define the function $f_n(\rho)$ as :

$$f_n(\rho) = \frac{A+1}{B}\frac{\rho^{\alpha+1+n(\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2})}}{1+n\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}}\left(\frac{d}{d\rho^{\alpha+1}}\right)^n{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}1,1+n\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}\\2+n\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}\end{matrix};\frac{A+1}{B}\rho^{\alpha+1}\right] \quad . \tag{20}$$

It is easy to see that when $\xi_0=0$ in equation (20), the usual MCG is recovered. In Fig. 1, we plot the evolution of the energy density ρ with respect to the scale factor a where the viscosity parameter ξ_0 varies. We have considered three values $\xi_0=0$ (black color), 0.01 (red color) 0.03 (blue color), respectively where we set the parameters to be $\alpha=1, A=\frac{1}{3}, B=1, \nu=\frac{3}{2}$ and $D=4$. Fig. 1 shows that as ξ_0 increases the density ρ decreases.



Figure 1: Plot of the density ρ versus the scale factor a for $\xi_0=0, 0.01, 0.03$.

In Fig. 2, the variation of the energy density is plotted by fixing the value of the viscosity parameter $\xi_0=0.01$ and varying the parameter $\nu=0$ (black color) and 3/2 (red color).

From equation (12), we get the explicit form of the time t in terms of the energy density ρ as :

$$c_D\ t+C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{c_D\xi_0}{A+1}\right)^n\frac{\rho^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}+n(\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2})}}{B\ n!\left(1-\frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)}+n\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}\right)}\left(\frac{d}{d\rho^{\alpha+1}}\right)^n{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}1,\beta_n\\1+\beta_n\end{matrix};\frac{A+1}{B}\rho^{\alpha+1}\right] \tag{21}$$

where C is an integration constant and we denote $\beta_n=1-\frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)}+n\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+1}$.



Figure 2: Plot of the density ρ versus the scale factor a for $\nu = 0, 3/2$.

2.2 Solution with $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$

By rescaling the density as $\bar{\rho} = \rho\ a^{(D-1)(A+1-c_D\ \xi_0)}$, the equation (12) for flat case becomes :

$$\bar{\rho}^{\alpha}\ \frac{d\bar{\rho}}{da^{D-1}} \quad = \quad B\ a^{(D-1)(\alpha+1)(A+1-c_D\xi_0)} \quad . \tag{22}$$

Integrating the latter equation leads to :

$$\frac{\bar{\rho}^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \quad = \quad \frac{B\ a^{(D-1)(\alpha+1)(A+1-c_D\xi_0)}}{(\alpha+1)(A+1-c_D\xi_0)} + \frac{C}{\alpha+1} \quad . \tag{23}$$

Hence, the density can be written in terms of the volume as :

$$\rho \quad = \quad \left(\frac{B}{A+1-c_D\xi_0} + \frac{C}{a^{(D-1)(\alpha+1)(A+1-c_D\xi_0)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \quad . \tag{24}$$

Interestingly, equation (24) reduces to the non-viscous MCG when ξ_0 is set to zero. In Fig.3, the variation of the energy density with respect to the scale factor has been plotted for different values of the viscosity parameter $\xi_0 = 0$ (black color), 0.05 (red color), 0.1 (blue color), respectively.

The explicit form of the the time t in terms of the energy density ρ is given by:

$$c_D\ t + C \quad = \quad \frac{2\rho^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{B(2\alpha+1)}\ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{c} 1, 1-\frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \\ 2-\frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \end{array}; \frac{A+1-c_D\ \xi_0}{B}\rho^{\alpha+1}\right] \tag{25}$$

where C is an integration constant.

3 Statefinder Parameters in D Dimensions

The expansion dynamics of the universe is probed through the derivatives of the scale factor with respect to the cosmic time. Indeed $\dot{a} > 0$ means that the universe is undergoing an expansion and $\ddot{a} > 0$ means that the universe



Figure 3: Plot of the density ρ versus the scale factor a for $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$.

is experiencing an accelerated expansion.

Geometrical parameters such as the Hubble parameter H depending on the first derivative of the scale factor and the deceleration parameter q,

$$q = -\frac{\ddot{a}}{aH^2} \tag{26}$$

depending on the second derivative of the scale factor are two elegant choices to describe the expansion state of the universe. The cosmic acceleration indicates that q should be less than zero.

From equations (9) and (11), the acceleration parameter q can be explicitly written in D dimensions as :

$$q = \frac{D-3}{2} + \frac{D-1}{2}\,\frac{p_{eff}}{\rho} \quad . \tag{27}$$

However both the Hubble and the deceleration parameters are not enough to characterize cosmological models uniquely because a quite number of models may just have the same current values of H and q.

In order to be able to distinguish between various cosmological dark energy models, a robust diagnostic of dark energy based on higher derivatives of the scale factor has been proposed. The statefinder pair $\{r, s\}$, which is a geometrical diagnostic, defines two new cosmological parameters in addition to H and q.

In D dimensions, the r parameter will be defined as follws :

$$r = \frac{\dddot{a}}{aH^3} - (D-4)(q+1) \tag{28}$$

which by using equation (9) and (11) can be expressed in D dimensions as :

$$r = 1 + \frac{(D-1)^2}{2}\left(1 + \frac{p_{eff}}{\rho}\right)\,\frac{\partial p_{eff}}{\partial \rho} \quad . \tag{29}$$

The latter equation suggests that the s parameter has to be defined in D dimensions as follows :

$$s = \frac{r-1}{(D-1)\,(q-\frac{D-3}{2})} \quad . \tag{30}$$

Using equations (27) and (29), the s parameter becomes :

$$s = \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{p_{eff}}\right)\,\frac{\partial p_{eff}}{\partial \rho} \quad . \tag{31}$$

Furthermore by combining equations (29) and (31), the ratio between the effective pressure p_{eff} and the density ρ can be written as :

$$\frac{p_{eff}}{\rho} = \frac{2\,(r-1))}{(D-1)^2\,s} \quad . \tag{32}$$

It is easy to see that the newly defined r and s parameters reduce to the usual one introduced in [50] when the dimension $D = 4$.

For the MCG with bulk viscosity, the derivative of the effective pressure p_{eff} with respect to the density ρ can be expressed as :

$$\frac{\partial p_{eff}}{\partial \rho} = A\,(\alpha+1) - \frac{\alpha\,p_{eff}}{\rho} + (\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2})\,\frac{\Pi}{\rho} \tag{33}$$

where

$$\frac{\Pi}{\rho} = -c_D\,\xi_0 \rho^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \quad . \tag{34}$$

Using equations (29)-(33), the following relation between r and s are derived :

$$2\,(r-1)\,s^2 + 2\alpha s\,(r-1) + 4\alpha\frac{(r-1)^2}{(D-1)^2} = s\left(A(\alpha+1) + (\alpha+\nu+\frac{1}{2})\,\frac{\Pi}{\rho}\right)\left((D-1)^2 s + 2(r-1)\right) \quad . \tag{35}$$

In the following, we turn to the cosmic parameters q, r and s and investigate the evolution trajectories of these parameters. Figures shown with caption Fig. 4 describe the evolution of cosmic parameters of the viscous MCG model where $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ and $D = 4$, and the viscosity parameter $\xi_0 = 0$ (black color), 0.01 (red color), 0.03 (blue color), respectively. The top left, top right and bottom left Figures correspond to the evolution of the deceleration q, r and s parameters with respect to the volume V. From these Figures, one can observe that for the MCG model with and without viscosity, the statefinder parameters $\{r, s\}$ tend to ΛCDM fixed point $\{1, 0\}$ in the future. Furthermore, the r and s parameters, plotted in the bottom right Figure, shows that there is one asymptote to s-axis corresponding to :

$$r = 1 + \frac{(D-1)^2}{2}(\alpha+1)(A - c_D\,\xi_0) \quad . \tag{36}$$

4 Conclusion

In the present paper, we have considered the physical model of a bulk viscous MCG filled flat homogeneous and isotropic universe. We have derived and formulated the basic equations governing this model. We have obtained solutions by using both analytical and numerical techniques. We have defined the deceleration parameter and the statefinder $\{r, s\}$ pair in D dimensions. We have investigated the evolution of the MCG model with and without viscosity in the flat FRW universe from the statefinder point of view. Trajectories of these various parameters have been displayed graphically by using appropriate values of the constants to understand their behavior.



Figure 4: (*Top Left*) Plot of the deceleration q versus the scale factor a. (*Top Right*) Plot of the r parameter versus the scale factor a. (*Bottom Left*) Plot of the s parameter versus the scale factor a. (*Bottom Right*) r-s diagram of the MCG model with and without viscosity.

References

[1] S. J. Perlmutter et al, Nature **391**, 51 (1998).

[2] S. J. Perlmutter et al, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999).

[3] A. G. Riess et al., Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998).

[4] A. G. Riess et al., Astrophys. J. **607**, 665 (2004).

[5] N. A. Bachall et al, Science **284**, 1481 (1999).

[6] M. Tegmark et al, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 103501 (2004).

[7] D. Miller et al, Astrophys. J. **524**, L1 (1999).

[8] C. Bennet et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2236 (2000).

[9] S. Briddle et al, Science **299**, 1532 (2003).

[10] D. N. Spergel et al, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 175 (2003).

[11] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 559 (2003).

[12] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, Phys. Rev. **D37**, 2406 (1998) .

[13] R. R. Caldwell, R. Dave and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1582 (1998).

[14] M. Sami and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 083509 (2003).

[15] C. Armendariz-Picon, V. Mukhanov and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 103510 (2001) .

[16] T. Chiba, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 063514 (2002) .

[17] R. J. Scherrer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 011301 (2004).

[18] A. Sen, J. High Energy Phys. **04**, 048 (2002) .

[19] A. Sen, J. High Energy Phys. **07**, 065 (2002) .

[20] G.W. Gibbons, Phys. Lett. **B537**, 1 (2002) .

[21] R. R. Caldwell, Phys. Lett. **B545**, 23 (2002) .

[22] E. Elizade, S. Nojiri and S. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 043539 (2004) .

[23] J. M. Cline, S. Jeon and G. D. Moore, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 043543 (2004).

[24] A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella and V. Pasquier, Phys. Lett. **B511**, 265 (2001) .

[25] B. Feng, M. Li, Y. Piao and X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. **B634**, 101 (2006).

[26] P. Horava, D. Minic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1610 (2000).

[27] C. Deffayet, G. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 044023 (2002).

[28] H. B. Benaoum, "Accelerated Universe from Modified Chaplygin Gas and tachyonic Fluid", preprint, hep-th/0205140 (2002).

[29] A. Kamenshchik et al., Phys. Lett. **B487**, 7 (2000) .

[30] M.C. Bento, O. Bertolami and A.A. Sen, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 0433507 (2004).

[31] U. Debnath, A. Banerjee, S. Chakraborty, Class. Quantum Gravity **21**, 5609 (2004) .

[32] H. B Benaoum, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2012**, 357802 (2012) .

[33] J. Lu, L. Xu, Y. Wu and M. Liu, Phys. Lett. **B662**, 87 (2008).

[34] J. Lu, L. Xu, Y. Wu and M. Liu, Gen. Rel. Grav. **43**, 819 (2011).

[35] L. Xu, Y. Wang and H. Noh, Eur. Phys. J. **C72**, 1931 (2012) .

[36] P. Thakur, S. Ghose and B.C. Paul, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **397**, 1935 (2009) .

[37] B.C. Paul, P. Thakur and A. Saha, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 024039 (2012) .

[38] J. C. Fabris, H. E. S. Velten, C. Ogouyandjou and J. Tossa, Phys. Lett. **B694**, 289 (2011).

[39] J. D. Barrow,Phys. Lett. **B180**, 335 (1986) .

[40] Padmanabhan, T., Chitre, S.M.: Phys. Lett.**A120**, 433 (1987) .

[41] D. Pavon, J. Bafluy, D. Jou, Class. Quantum Gravity **8**, 347 (1991) .

[42] R. Maartens,*et al*, Class. Quantum Gravity **12**, 1455 (1995) .

[43] J.A.S. Lima,*et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 4287 (1993) .

[44] G. Mohanthy, B.D. Pradhan, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **31**, 151 (1992) .

[45] G. Mohanty, R.R.Pattanaik, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **30**, 239 (1991) .

[46] J.K. Singh, Nuovo Cimento **1208**, 1251 (2005) .

[47] J.K. Singh, Shreeram, Astrophys. Space Sci. **236**, 277 (1996) .

[48] X. Zhai, Y. Xu and X. Li, Int.J.Mod.Phys.**D15**,1151 (2006) .

[49] H. Saadat, B. Pourhassan, Astrophys.Space Sci. **343**, 783 (2013), H. Saadat, Int.J.Theor.Phys. **52**, 3902 (2013) .

[50] V. Sahni, T. D. Saini, A. A. Starobinsky and U. Alam, JETP Lett. **77**, 201 (2003) .

[51] U. Alam, V. Sahni, T. D. Saini, A. A. Starobinsky, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **344**, 1057 (2003) .